

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/017,893	12/12/2001	Anthony Cadiente	SMBRP001	9942	
22434	7590 07/28/2004		EXAMINER		
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			MOY, JOSEPH MAN		
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3727	3727	
			DATE MAIL ED. 07/20/200	_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/017,893	CADIENTE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph Moy	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M	ay 2004.					
· ·	action is non-final.					
, , _	,—					
Disposition of Claims	•					
 4) Claim(s) 1-82 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18,19,33,34,44,49,51 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17,20-32,35-43,45-48,50,52-59,61,6 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	<u>,60 and 63</u> is/are withdrawn from 62 and 64-82 is/are rejected.	consideration.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine		•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression 11.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (/PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

þ

Claims 12-15,21-30,36,38-43,45-48,50,52-57,58,59,61,62,64,65-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slagel or McCluney in view of Paine, Jr. and Warnecke et al. It would have been obvious to provide the container and lid of Slagel or McCluney with latch as shown by Warnecke et al in order to secure the lid to the container. If desired to provide the containers with extra holes in the wall as shown by Paine, Jr. would also have been obvious in order to speed up the venting process. Since the scope of these claims are directed to the container and the lid per se, the intended statement does not provide any patentable structure defined over the structures of the references. Moreover, the container and lid of the references are capable to permit an operator to perform the intended function as claimed.

Claims 1-17,20-32,35-43,45-48,50,52-59,61-62 and 64-82 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the patented claims of U.S. Patent No.6,074,676 and 5,738,890 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patented claims show all the structure of the device as recited by the claims.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Any inquiry concerning this office action will be directed to Examiner Joseph Moy, (703) 308-1145.

Date: 05/22/2004

Josèph Man-Fu Moy Primary Examiner